



International Journal of Research in Management

ISSN Print: 2664-8792
ISSN Online: 2664-8806
Impact Factor: RJIF 8
IJRM 2023; 5(2): 216-220
www.managementpaper.net
Received: 06-09-2023
Accepted: 11-10-2023

Bijayalal Naik
M.com, School of Commerce,
Gangadhar Meher University,
Amruta Vihar, Sambalpur,
Odisha, India

Saroja Meher
Assistant Professor, School of
Commerce, Gangadhar Meher
University, Sambalpur,
Odisha, India

Bikash Sethy
Research Assistant, School of
Commerce, Gangadhar Meher
University, Sambalpur,
Odisha, India

Corresponding Author:
Bijayalal Naik
M.com, School of Commerce,
Gangadhar Meher University,
Amruta Vihar, Sambalpur,
Odisha, India

An empirical study of consumer buying behaviour towards eco-friendly FMCG products in Western Odisha

Bijayalal Naik, Saroja Meher and Bikash Sethy

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26648792.2023.v5.i2c.111>

Abstract

This study investigates consumer buying behavior regarding eco-friendly Fast Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) products within the context of Western Odisha, India. With the increasing concern for environmental sustainability and the growing market for eco-friendly products, understanding the factors influencing consumer choices in this region becomes pivotal. The study employs ANOVA and Logistic regression for the analysis. The study found that availability is a positive but insignificant predictor of consumer buying behavior, while awareness is a significant negative predictor variable. The outcome of this study offers valuable insights to marketers, policymakers, and businesses seeking to enhance the adoption of eco-friendly FMCG products in Western Odisha.

Keywords: Eco-Friendly, FMCG, buying behaviour, environmental sustainability, consumer awareness

Introduction

Businesses must continuously evaluate the most appealing marketing trends. By continuously researching the changes in consumer behavior, marketing trends can be discovered. Businesses can adopt required modifications in what they are offering to customers by noticing changes in consumer behavior. Consumers are more worried today about environmental degradation and the damaging effects of the products and services they use. Climate change is also a burning issue nowadays (Maharana & Pal, 2023) ^[8]. Thus, employing green marketing gives businesses a chance to satisfy customer needs and allay their environmental worries while simultaneously gaining a competitive edge and a loyal customer base.

Environmental marketing and ecological marketing are other names for green marketing. According to the American Marketing Association, green marketing refers to the promotion of items that are thought to be ecologically safe. Consequently, a wide range of activities are included in green marketing, it involves altering the product, changing the manufacturing and packaging processes, altering advertising, and ceasing any activities that have a harmful influence on the environment. The need for businesses to produce and sell products as environmentally friendly has never been greater because the earth now faces more environmental problems than ever before. Due to growing consumer awareness and concerns, green marketing is becoming a well-liked advertising technique. When working with clients, vendors, dealers, and employees' firms are urged to use ethical and environmental practices. This is known as "green" or "ecological" marketing. Businesses have been promoting themselves as environmentally friendly. Environmental challenges like global warming, pollution, and water pollution, are now receiving a lot of attention from the public sector units and state governments. The top consumers on the survey named "consumer Greendex" were from industrialized nations that come in last in the developing economies of China, Brazil, and India. In order for a business to succeed with green marketing, it must be dedicated to acting sustainably. Gupta *et al.*, (2014) ^[5] found that green marketing is made more appealing to consumers thanks to environmental education.

Considering all these points, the present study attempts to know the awareness level of consumers about green FMCG products, to analyze the factors influencing the consumption of green FMCG products, and to analyze the impact of income level and age on awareness of eco-friendly products in western Odisha.

Ular Savita & Kumar (2010) [11] examined the attitudes of urban and rural consumers, as well as males and females, toward environmentally friendly goods. According to the survey, there are no discernible gender-related differences in attitudes. Compared to rural folks, urban people are more inclined toward environmentally friendly items.

Ottman (2011) [9] in her article indicated that a significant portion of consumers use green products today. Many producers are entering the market with environmentally friendly goods. The majority of green products are successful on the market. In supermarkets, you can easily find sustainable goods. People care about the environment and the earth. For their green products, marketers should focus on the current and future generations. Children and grandkids should be taught environmental principles and attitudes. Waste management's three Rs Schools must teach students how to reduce, reuse, and recycle. Gupta *et al.*, (2014) [5] found that green marketing is made more appealing to consumers thanks to environmental education. The economic aspects of marketing should not be

overlooked in green campaigns. Government pressure is a major factor in the growth of green marketing. Among consumers, green brands are well-known. Marketers need to get over the idea that people won't necessarily pay more for eco-friendly items.

Methodology

The study is based on primary data that has been collected from the western part of Odisha, with the help of a structured questionnaire through google forms. This study focuses on the customer perception of green marketing. In addition, this study also focused on customer attitudes towards eco-friendly products relating to first-moving consumer goods. The target respondents are from the western district of Odisha. A random sampling technique has used in our study. In our study, we require nominal, ordinal, and scale. demographic factors like gender, educational level, occupation, etc. are measured in nominal, the attitude of consumers towards green products has measured in scale and the overall opinion of respondents have measured in ordinal scale. Binaries logistic regression and ANOVA have been used to analyze the data. Data analysis is done using software like SPSS and Microsoft Excel.

Results and Discussions

Table 1: Rotated Component Matrix

| Variables Name | Component | |
|--|-----------|------|
| | 1 | 2 |
| I feel green products do not create any harm to society: | .727 | |
| I feel Green products will be preferred by consumers near future: | .726 | |
| Green products have a reputation in the market: | .712 | |
| Green products can be easily identified: | .677 | |
| Green products do not create any pollution: | .665 | |
| Green products usage is the status symbol in society: | .637 | |
| Green products concept is an existing long time back but it does not implement by many companies: | .597 | |
| Green products available only at shopping malls: | | .830 |
| Green products are costlier one? | | .574 |
| Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. | | |

Source: Author's own compilation

We had taken 12 variables for factor analysis. After the analysis we removed all the variables that had a factor loading below 0.5, thus we finally got 9 variables for further

analysis. As per the suggestion we have grouped the variables into two factors. We have named them "awareness" and "availability".

Table 2: Classification Table

| Observed | Predicted | | | Percentage Correct |
|--|--|---------------|---|--------------------|
| | How much are your monthly expenses on FMCG products: | | | |
| | Low spending | High spending | | |
| How much are your monthly expenses on FMCG products: | low spending | 106 | 0 | 100.0 |
| | high spending | 104 | 0 | .0 |
| Overall Percentage | | | | 50.5 |
| a. Constant is included in the model. | | | | |
| b. The cut value is .500 | | | | |

Source: Author's own compilation

Table 3: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

| Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----|------|
| | Chi-square | Df | Sig. |
| Step | 15.597 | 2 | .000 |
| Block | 15.597 | 2 | .000 |
| Model | 15.597 | 2 | .000 |

Source: Author's own compilation

The omnibus test helps in assessing whether the independent variables have some impact on the dependent variable. It represents the increments of the incremental fit.

H0: There is no incremental fit in the model.

Here the null hypothesis is rejected, as the chi-square value is 15.597 with a p-value less than .05 and hence the model is having significant improvement in fit in comparison to the null model.

Table 4: Model Summary

| Model Summary | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Step | -2 Log likelihood | Cox & Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square |
| 1 | 275.506 ^a | .072 | .095 |

Source: Author's own compilation

Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001. Both Cox & Snell R-square and Nagelkerke R-square are pseudo R-square variables. The Nagelkerke pseudo R-square ranges from 0-1 and is an adjusted version of the Cox and Snell. According to the Nagelkerke pseudo-R-square here, a 9.5% change in the dependent variable can be accounted for by the independent variables.

Table 5: Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

| Hosmer and Lemeshow Test | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|----|------|
| Step | Chi-square | df | Sig. |
| 1 | 4.359 | 8 | .823 |

Source: Author's own compilation

The Hosmer and Lemeshow test is a goodness of fit test, testing the estimated model to none that has perfect (Pituch & Stevens, 2016) [10].

H0: The model is a perfect fit.

Here, the null hypothesis is not rejected as the Hosmer and Lemeshow test is not statistically significant [$\chi^2(8) = 4.359, p = .823$] which indicates a perfect fit of the model.

Table 6: Monthly Expenses on FMCG Products

| Classification Table | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|----|--------------------|
| Observed | Predicted | | | |
| | How much is your expense on FMCG products: | | | Percentage Correct |
| | Low spending | High spending | | |
| How much are your monthly expense on FMCG products: | Low spending | 66 | 40 | 62.3 |
| | High spending | 40 | 64 | 61.5 |
| Overall Percentage | | | | 61.9 |

The cut value is .500

Source: Author's own compliance

Table 7: Logistic Regression Coefficient

| Variables in the Equation | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|------|--------|----|------|--------|---------------------|-------|
| Variables | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) | 95% C.I. for EXP(B) | |
| | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Awareness | -.804 | .214 | 14.155 | 1 | .000 | .447 | .294 | .680 |
| Availability | .174 | .180 | .934 | 1 | .334 | 1.190 | .836 | 1.693 |
| Constant | 2.184 | .787 | 7.705 | 1 | .006 | 8.881 | | |

Variable(s) entered on step 1: awareness, availability

Source: Author's own compliance

Awareness is the negative and significant predictor of the consumption behavior of eco-friendly consumers, here the odds ratio is 0.447 which implies that for every unit increase in awareness levels, the tendency of eco-friendly consumers for consuming eco-friendly products will decrease by 55.3%, it will result in low spending for eco-friendly products. The finding of our study is an exception to the existing theories. In our study, it was found that an increase in the awareness level does not lead to the purchase of FMCG environmentally friendly products. The possible reason can be the low income of the consumer, habitual buying behavior, non-availability of products in nearby stores, etc. Availability is positive but an insignificant

predictor of consumption behavior. Here the odds ratio is 1.19 which implies that for every unit increase in availability, consumers' tendency for high consumption will increase by 19%.

Table 8: Age Group

| ANOVA | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|--------|------|
| Awareness | | | | | |
| Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 39.221 | 4 | 9.805 | 27.016 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 74.404 | 205 | .363 | | |
| Total | 113.625 | 209 | | | |

Source: Author's own compliance

This is the table that shows the output of the ANOVA analysis and whether there is a statistically significant difference between age group means. We can see that the significance value is 0.00 (i.e., $p = .00$), which is below 0.05. And, therefore, there is a statistically significant difference among the age groups with respect to awareness of eco-friendly FMCG products.

The details of the difference can be understood from the post hoc table below:

Table 9: Awareness of Different Age Groups

| Dependent Variable: Awareness | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | (I) 3. Age: | (J) 3. Age: | Mean Difference (I-J) | Std. Error | Sig. | 95% Confidence Interval | |
| | | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Tukey HSD | 18-30 years | 31-40 years | .77198* | .11062 | .000 | .4675 | 1.0764 |
| | | 41-50 years | .76873* | .14313 | .000 | .3748 | 1.1627 |
| | | 51-60 years | .97942* | .10822 | .000 | .6816 | 1.2773 |
| | | Above 60 years | .87912* | .25393 | .006 | .1803 | 1.5780 |
| | 31-40 years | 18-30 years | -.77198* | .11062 | .000 | -1.0764 | -.4675 |
| | | 41-50 years | -.00325 | .15731 | 1.000 | -.4362 | .4297 |
| | | 51-60 years | .20745 | .12638 | .473 | -.1404 | .5553 |
| | | Above 60 years | .10714 | .26218 | .994 | -.6144 | .8287 |
| | 41-50 years | 18-30 years | -.76873* | .14313 | .000 | -1.1627 | -.3748 |
| | | 31-40 years | .00325 | .15731 | 1.000 | -.4297 | .4362 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------|--------|------|---------|--------|
| | | 51-60 years | .21069 | .15563 | .658 | -.2176 | .6390 |
| | | Above 60 years | .11039 | .27747 | .995 | -.6533 | .8740 |
| | 51-60 years | 18-30 years | -.97942* | .10822 | .000 | -1.2773 | -.6816 |
| | | 31-40 years | -.20745 | .12638 | .473 | -.5553 | .1404 |
| | | 41-50 years | -.21069 | .15563 | .658 | -.6390 | .2176 |
| | Above 60 years | Above 60 years | -.10030 | .26118 | .995 | -.8191 | .6185 |
| | | 18-30 years | -.87912* | .25393 | .006 | -1.5780 | -.1803 |
| | | 31-40 years | -.10714 | .26218 | .994 | -.8287 | .6144 |
| | | 41-50 years | -.11039 | .27747 | .995 | -.8740 | .6533 |
| | | 51-60 years | .10030 | .26118 | .995 | -.6185 | .8191 |
| The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. | | | | | | | |
| Dunnnett t-tests treat one group as a control and compare all other groups against it. | | | | | | | |

Source: Author's own compliance

The above table shows the comparison of the awareness level of the consumers on the basis of different age groups. Tukey HSD shows the mean difference between the awareness levels. At first, the age group 18-30 years is compared with the 31-40 years, 41-50 years, 51-60 years, and finally with the age group above 60 years. Here all the comparisons show a p-value of 0.00 which is less than the 0.05 level. It indicates that there is a significant difference in their awareness level on the basis of age group. Then the 2nd comparison is done between the age group 31-40 years with the other given age groups like 18-30 years, 41-50 years, 51-60 years, and above 60 years. The p-value is 0.000, 1.000, 0.473, and 0.994. It is concluded that the age group 18-30 years has a significant impact as the p-value is less than 0.05. In the third case, the age group was 41-50 years compared with the given other age group. Likewise, the age group 51-60 years and the age group above 60 years are compared with the given other age group. It is concluded that the age group 18-30 years has a significant impact compared to other age groups regarding the awareness level of the consumer as the p-value is less than 0.05 level which is clear from the given above table. From the overall comparison, it is concluded that the age group 18-30 years are more aware of the product because this group is mainly consisting the young population and they kept themselves up to date always.

Table 10: Income Level on Buying Behavior

| ANOVA | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| Awareness | | | | | |
| Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 4.178 | 4 | 1.044 | 1.956 | .103 |
| Within Groups | 109.447 | 205 | .534 | | |
| Total | 113.625 | 209 | | | |

Source: Author's own compliance

The above table depicts the impact of income level on buying behaviour. From the analysis, it is concluded that there is no significant difference among different income groups with respect to awareness regarding eco-friendly FMCG products as the p-value is more than 5% significance level, hence the given hypothesis is not rejected.

Conclusion and Policy Implications

As the study suggests availability is a positive predictor of buying behavior, so companies should focus on their distribution channel to ensure the smooth availability of green FMCG products. While formulating strategies, importance should be given to the age group while the income level can be ignored. The overall opinion of the respondents about green FMCG products is positive. Hence

this is the right time for companies to put effort and for consumers to spend more on green practices.

Consumers' attitudes toward the environment are positively related to their actions. To protect the environment and their health, consumers are willing to purchase the products. Due to their concern for their health, individuals are interested in buying Green FMCG products. Therefore, businesses strive to create products that are safe for society and consumers. The business also should put effort to create awareness among the people about green products. As per the finding, we found that availability is a positive indicator of consumer buying behavior, hence businesses should give more focus on the place which is one of the major p of 4Ps of marketing. There are some questions about the items' ingredients even though they are created using organic materials. Therefore, the government works to establish minimum standards for the goods and issues certification that they are made from organic materials. Despite the fact that consumers are ready to buy green products, many corporate organizations are still lagging behind in supporting the need for an eco-friendly society. Agricultural goods may be used as input by businesses to create items like shampoo, face wash, hair color, etc. Both money and the environment will be saved.

JEL: Q56, M31, Q56, D12.

References

1. Asha P, Rathiha R. Consumer awareness towards green products. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*. 2017;8(5):8-14.
2. Bhalerao VR, Deshmukh DA. Green Marketing: Greening the 4 Ps of Marketing. *International Journal of Knowledge and Research in Management & E-Commerce*. 2015;5(2):4-8.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/310345086_Green_Marketing_Greening_the_4_Ps_of_Marketing%0Ahttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/310345086
3. Fui Yeng W, Yazdanifard R. Green marketing: A study of consumers' buying behavior in relation to green products. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: E-Marketing*. 2015;15(5):16-23.
4. Ghodeswar B, Kumar P. A Study of Green Marketing Practices in Indian Companies. *International Journal of Applied Management Sciences and Engineering*. 2014;1(2):46-64.
<https://doi.org/10.4018/ijamse.2014070104>.
5. Gupta S, Shallu S, Kapoor M. A Study of Consumer Attitude and Awareness towards Green Marketing and Green Branding. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*.

- 2014;4(1):315-319.
<https://doi.org/10.15373/2249555x/jan2014/92>.
6. Jaggi R, Ghosh M. Consumer perception of Patanjali products: An analytical study. *IUP Journal of Brand Management*. 2017;14(1):1-14.
 7. Kewat PB, Panda P, Maharana AK. Influence of direct marketing and conventional advertisement on buying behavior of customers of electronic home appliances. *Int. J. Business and Emerging Markets*. 2023;15(3):287-306.
 8. Maharana AK, Pal S. Application of Bibliometric Analysis in the Study of Climate Change and Sustainable Development Practices. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(6):361-368.
<https://doi.org/10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i61835>.
 9. Ottman JA. *The new rules of green marketing* (1st ed.). Greenleaf publishing; c2011.
 10. Pituch KA, Stevens JP. *Applied Multivariate Statistics for the Social Sciences*. 6th edn. New York and London; c2016.
 11. Savita U, Kumar N. Consumer attitude towards environment-friendly products: A comparative analysis; c2010. Retrieved from <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=1598227>
 12. Singh P, Kaur R. Environmental Awareness and Perception towards Green Marketing. *International Journal of Engineering Technology, Management, and Applied Sciences*. 2016;4(8):125-133.
<http://www.ijetmas.com/admin/resources/project/paper/f201608191471595019.pdf>.
 13. Yazdanifard R, Mercy IE. The impact of green marketing on customer satisfaction and environmental safety. In *International conference on computer communication and management*. IACSIT Press. 2011;5(1):637-641.